

SPORTS PSYCHOLOGY

Laughter in the Locker Room: Unlocking High Performance Through Humor in Coaching

*Lawrence W. Judge, Ronald Dolon, Kyra Livergood,
and Brandon Evans*

Abstract

This article examines the strategic use of humor in sports coaching and its positive effects on athletic engagement and performance. Grounded in empirical research and the synthesis of sports coaching theory, the study highlights humor's critical function in fortifying social bonds, reducing stress, and enhancing information retention among athletes. The incorporation of humor into coaching practices is presented not just as casual amusement but as a sophisticated tactic to navigate the complexities of elite sports environments. With an emphasis on respectful and effective application, humor is identified as a transformative tool within interpersonal and group dynamics. This exploration advocates for the purposeful implementation of humor by coaches to cultivate a coaching atmosphere that nurtures rapport and resilience. The article calls for further investigation into the nuanced interactions of humor within cultural contexts and learning styles, suggesting that such inquiry will benefit ongoing advancements in sports coaching methodologies and contribute to the broader coaching discourse. In essence, the valorization of humor in coaching is recognized as pivotal in developing enriched, athlete-centered coaching approaches.

Lawrence W. Judge, Ronald Dolon, Kyra Livergood, and Brandon Evans. Department of Social Work, Ball State University Please send author correspondence to lwjudge@bsu.edu.

In the intensely competitive realm of elite sports, the symbiosis between athlete and coach is not merely about physical prowess and strategy but is a rich mosaic of interpersonal dynamics, each as crucial to the pursuit of excellence as the next (Judge et al., 2010). Within this complex interplay, coaches emerge as sculptors of talent, wielding their influence to shape not just the athlete's physical potential but also their psychological resilience. They masterfully traverse the psyche of their athletes, operating as motivators, psychologists, and steadfast allies (Andersen & Ronglan, 2012). This comprehensive approach to coaching embodies more than mere training; it represents a commitment to nurturing the athlete's mental and emotional equilibrium, thereby converting competitors into legends. Here, the subtle art of humor becomes a transformative force within the coach's arsenal. Humor does not merely ease tension; it reinforces relationships, mitigates the pressures of competition, and breathes life into the very ethos of the team, serving as a touchstone for unity and peak performance (Ronglan & Aggerholm, 2014). Such is the underestimated power of levity that it can underpin the spirit and success of a team, transcending cultural borders and sporting codes. In exploring the strategic value of humor, this investigation unveils its role as a universal catalyst, a dynamic axis around which the sports world can revolve, propelling athletes toward their most triumphant moments.

Humor, often overlooked in the serious context of elite sports, is subtly influential in shaping human behavior and interactions (Dolon, 2021). Aristotle recognized humor's inherent value as an ethical and social construct, with the power to strengthen human connections and bolster collective spirit (Aristotle, 2004). In the realm of contemporary sports coaching, humor goes beyond mere entertainment, positioning itself as a tactical resource that can amplify coaching success, unify teams, and provide psychological respite during rigorous contests. It serves as an essential asset in elite sports, adept at mitigating stress and promoting positivity, even in the face of high-pressure situations. Furthermore, using humor extends beyond the athletic field into professions confronted with tragedy, such as social work, where it can be leveraged for its therapeutic potential, helping both caregivers and clients cope with the emotional demands of their work (Dolon & Gray, 2023).

Judiciously timed moments of levity can strengthen team unity and mentally rejuvenate athletes, leading to enhanced performance and well-being. Consider the tense atmosphere that envelops a locker room just before a critical game or match: athletes sit with muscles coiled and minds racing in anticipation. It is here, in the heart of pre-game jitters, that a coach demonstrates the transformative impact of humor. At the perfect moment, a well-crafted joke is delivered, rippling laughter through the room, easing tension, and soothing the collective anxiety. As a result, the mood becomes perceptibly brighter; the athletes' preoccupation with the looming contest gives way to a sense of camaraderie, equipping them with a renewed focus and a lighter heart as they step into the arena.

The transformative power of a well-placed jest is a testament to the seamless integration of humor within the fabric of team spirit and individual readiness. Shifting from anecdotal illustrations to a broader examination, the purpose of this paper is to delve into the nuanced application of humor in coaching and highlight its contributions to contemporary athletic practices. Humor's ability to influence psychological well-being, boost learning retention, and refine group dynamics positions it as an indispensable tool in the coaching repertoire. A review of varied theoretical frameworks and empirical studies furnishes an informative narrative that underscores the versatility and efficacy of humor as a mechanism to optimize athletic performance. This exploration into the multifaceted nature of humor reveals its significant role in sports, not merely as a source of amusement, but as a strategic resource that can strengthen team bonds and elevate individual athletic excellence.

Theoretical Framework

Unraveling the function and potential of humor in the coaching context necessitates a theoretical framework that integrates insights into social interaction and role performance. Goffman's (1959) conceptualization of social interactions as a theatrical performance provides a foundational lens for understanding the strategic utilization of humor by coaches (Goffman, 1959; Jones et al., 2011b). In his dramaturgical analysis, Goffman explains how individuals, akin to actors, play out their roles on the stage of everyday life, with every interaction containing elements of performance. This framework suggests coaching engagements as a space where humor can be

adroitly incorporated into these performances, potentially enhancing the coach's influence and athletes' receptiveness.

Furthermore, Cooper's (2008) relational process model affords a focused examination of how interpersonal bonds are strengthened through humor within workplace environments. Analogously, in sports coaching settings, how humor is not merely a spontaneous or frivolous act but a relational vehicle through which interpersonal connections can flourish. Cooper posits that humor, wielded appropriately, can fortify relational bonds and foster an environment conducive to open communication and trust, an environment that is parallel to the objectives of coaching relationships. This model highlights the intentional use of humor to create a cohesive team, enabling coaches to navigate the relational dynamics of their roles effectively.

Humor is an essential element in communication; it is a vital influence in creating interpersonal relationships that influence group processes and influence team development in the workplace and sports teams. Humor creates emotional satisfaction that is a factor in positive interactions with peers and coaches (Schei, 2021). A recent study by Kim et al. (2020) investigated "team comedians" and how they create a significantly large impact on other team members. They aid in taming a tense situation, helping to build a bond between the groups. Complementing the relational model, Davies (1979) explores humor as a sociological experience, not just confined to the individual but pervasive within the social fabric of groups and communities.

Humor serves as a mechanism for negotiating social identity and order, functioning as a cultural currency that defines in-groups and facilitates social integration. Morreall (2009) further supplements the understanding of humor's role, exploring its psychological aspects, including cognitive and emotional dimensions. Humor initiates a cognitive shift with profound effects on mental states and social interactions. Introducing a lighthearted reframe of perspective ignites creativity, enabling individuals to think outside the box and approach problems from fresh angles. This ignites particularly beneficial sparks in sports, where innovative strategies can significantly enhance performance.

Additionally, humor plays a role in diffusing tension and can be instrumental in diffusing confrontational situations. Within the high-stakes environment of sports, where emotions can run high, humor provides an escape valve, releasing pressure and allowing for clearer thinking and more effective communication among teammates and coaches. Furthermore, laughter and light-heartedness are known to reduce anxiety, which is crucial for athletes facing intense pressure to perform. By alleviating stress and promoting relaxation, humor helps athletes maintain focus and composure, which are vital for success in competitive sports (Dolon, 2021).

For example, imagine a basketball team that has been on a losing streak and is facing another high-pressure game against a rival. The coach, recognizing the team's rising anxiety, decides to start the pre-game talk with a humorous anecdote about his own rookie mistakes. The players, initially tense, begin to laugh and share their own light-hearted stories. The mood lightens, their anxiety levels drop, and the athletes' mindset shifts from one of stress to one of shared camaraderie and renewed focus. Heading onto the court with a lifted spirit translates into a performance characterized by greater relaxation and confidence.

By incorporating humor into their routines, athletes and coaches can foster a positive team dynamic that is conducive to enhanced sports performance, proving that a little levity can indeed go a long way. This inquiry integrates various theoretical perspectives to elucidate humor's integral role in shaping coaching methodologies. As a strategic tool in Goffman's (1967) dramaturgy, a unifying medium according to Cooper's (2008) relational framework, and a sociocultural and psychological catalyst following Davies (1979) and Morreall (2009), humor proves to be a dynamic and influential factor in coaching dynamics. The following analysis will address its practical implementations, advantages, and procedural considerations, highlighting its capacity to augment athletic participation and prowess across a spectrum of athletes, irrespective of cultural, age, skill, or experiential differences. Humor stands as a bridge across this diversity, fostering a collective experience of joy that enhances team cohesion and elevates athletic performance.

Humor in the Coaching Context

Within the milieu of sports coaching, humor has emerged as a pillar of cultural ambiance and a navigational tool amid often complex coaching landscapes. Jones et al. (2004) accentuate the imprint of humor in morphing static coaching cultures into dynamic ecosystems that foster both personal and team development. The infusion of humor into coaching practices rejigs the standard protocol-dominated environments and introduces a levity that can transmute tension into togetherness (Ronglan, 2011).

Humor is known to be used in multiple professional settings (Dolon, 2021). This includes nurse-patient, psychologist-patient, and teacher-student relationships. Humor tactics have been used to create socialization between staff and patients creating stronger bonds. It has been reported to diffuse stressful situations, create lasting positive impressions, and reduce emotional exhaustion (Pack et al., 2019). Managing with humor extends beyond mere jest; it denotes an astute grasp of situational contexts and the emotional barometer of the team (Jones et al., 2013). A coach's witticism is not an isolated act of comedy but a woven tapestry of interaction that builds rapport, engenders trust, and diffuses the high-pressure stakes endemic to sports. Denison (2007) echoes this sentiment, noting the efficacy of humor in unpacking an athlete's performance concerns, suggesting that a well-timed quip can mitigate anxiety and open doors to constructive dialogue.

Teaching is a valuable part of a coach's job to advance the development of their athletes further. Using humor as a teaching tool aids in an athlete's ability to retain, process, and apply the information they are being told (Dolon & Gray, 2023). Adding humor as a teaching technique can refresh a coach's reason to why they pursue a coaching career. Humor is a tactic that contributes to an athlete's and a coach's enjoyment and overall well-being (Watt, 2007). In adopting humor, coaches negotiate the terrain of power dynamics, establish relational depth, and forge an atmosphere where open communication is not only possible but encouraged. Ronglan (2011) emphasizes the relational nuance in coaching, where humor does not belittle but builds a shared understanding, a collective in-joke, that reinforces group identity. These undercurrents of humor serve to bolster the coach's

role not just as an authoritative figure but as a relational leader adept at the subtleties of team interaction.

Consider a training session interrupted by an unintended error. Instead of resorting to criticism or disregard, a coach who responds with a witty comment can skillfully transform the error into a mutual moment of amusement and relatability. Such responses promote a team culture that frames small setbacks as normal and essential steps on the path to excellence. When coaches approach these instances with humor, they highlight the human element within sports and nurture an atmosphere where mistakes are seen as opportunities for learning and growth. It is critical to establish a relaxed environment where errors are not only accepted but welcomed as valuable learning experiences.

Athletes who feel seen and supported grow not only in skill but also in their capacity to persevere, a sentiment that deepens team bonds and bolsters a spirit of shared endeavor and resilience. Therefore, humor in coaching transcends mere entertainment; it becomes instrumental in fostering a resilient, cohesive, and high-performing team. As coaches choreograph these episodes of levity, they must wield humor with a sense of timing, tact, and context-awareness befitting the diverse tapestry of personalities that compose a team.

Benefits of Humor in Coaching

The literature underscores humor's significance in bolstering social interactions and enhancing team cohesion. Holmes and Marra (2002) have observed that humor serves as a facilitator of workplace socialization, strengthening inter-member relationships and consolidating team unity. In the context of sports, this is mirrored by Ronglan's (2000) assertion that humor cultivates camaraderie and a shared sense of identity among athletes. With careful implementation, humor can contribute to a team milieu where athletes experience a sense of belonging, which may, in turn, amplify their collective commitment to performance. The strategic application of humor assumes particular importance in the context of technically demanding individual sports like elite-level track and field hammer throwing or Paralympic shot put and discus, where it can relieve the intense pressure for precision, engender a poised environment, and

thereby potentially elevate athletes' focus and subsequent performance in these exacting events.

Evans et al. (2013) investigated the understanding of group influence and discovered the importance of having a positive group environment as a fundamental determinant of the success of a team and an individual athlete. Building social connections aids in a person's sense of belonging while isolation and exclusion create a less meaningful team environment. In the demanding realm of elite sports, humor's capacity to mitigate stress, including anxiety, burnout, and performance pressure, is paramount. Hay (2000) notes that humor acts as an adaptive mechanism to manage such psychological stressors, fostering positivity and relaxation in both training and competitive settings.

When coaches adeptly utilize humor, they can diffuse tenseness, thus enabling athletes to better contend with the stressors associated with high-stakes performance. This results in a conducive environment for athletes to concentrate on skill development and tactical understanding, unburdened by overwhelming stress or anxiety. Furthermore, humor has been linked to improved learning outcomes and information retention. Wanzer and Frymier's (1999) investigation into the relationship between instructor humor and student learning reports that humor can have a positive effect on recall and understanding. Coaches who skillfully weave humorous elements into their instructional practices may find that their athletes exhibit enhanced memory of tactical formats and corrective feedback.

Leadership is another domain within which humor can play a transformative role. Holmes (2007) elucidates how the articulation of humor by leaders, including coaches, can shape their identity and authority within a group. By displaying humor, leaders can present themselves as approachable and human, which can engender trust and respect from followers. Ultimately, the strategic use of humor by coaches can cement their position as trusted leaders who can strike the balance between guiding with authority and fostering a positive, supportive team environment.

Implementing Humor: Methodologies and Strategies

Implementing humor in coaching goes beyond sporadic comedic interjections; it requires a thoughtful approach that intertwines with high-impact practices. Jones and Wallace (2005) contend that

immersive educational experiences can be enriched through humor, as it shifts the emotional and cognitive atmosphere, stimulating engagement and participation. Similarly, within coaching, humor can be used to amplify the effectiveness of immersive learning situations, such as simulations, role-playing activities, and team-building exercises. The key is to harness humor in a way that bolsters the learning process, ensuring that it aligns with the session's objectives and resonates with the group's culture. Refer to Table 1 for a concise overview of strategic approaches to embedding humor into coaching methodologies, underscoring considerations for timing, cultural sensitivity, management of missteps, role modeling, and mindfulness.

Table 1
Strategies for Integrating Humor in Coaching Practices

Strategy	Description
Appropriate Timing	Use humor at the right time to reinforce learning and maintain focus on practice goals.
Cultural Sensitivity	Adapt humor to the varied cultural contexts of athletes, ensuring inclusivity and respect.
Managing Missteps	Implement strategies to swiftly recover from humor that may have been ill-received, preserving team trust and unity.
Exemplary Role Modelling	Set a positive example with humor that promotes a healthy and constructive team environment.
Mindfulness in Practice	Utilize reflective practices to assess and refine the use of humor, ensuring alignment with team dynamics and coaching efficacy.

Exploring the role of humor as an asset in service learning presents a valuable consideration for coaches. Hoyle and Wallace (2008) propose that integrating humor into service learning, a pedagogical approach that melds community service with reflective practice can enrich these educational experiences. Within coaching, leveraging humor in community outreach and team service initiatives can amplify the impact of such activities. It not only renders the experience more engaging and memorable for athletes but also strengthens the rapport with the community, enhancing mutual benefits (Judge et al., 2011). This positive intersection of humor with service learning can substantially bolster public relations by presenting the team as

both compassionate and approachable, ultimately fostering a more profound community connection.

Successfully incorporating humor into coaching strategies necessitates meticulous planning and deliberate evaluation. Drawing on Kvale's (1996) methodological insights, coaching practitioners can apply qualitative research approaches, like interviews, observations, and athlete feedback, to ascertain the humor types that best resonate and determine their most effective contexts for deployment. An integral element could involve the construction of a humor profile for the team; an assessment that outlines the established norms surrounding humor, athletes' individual preferences, and the moments when humor yields the greatest positive effect. Notably, humor can also be strategically integrated as part of a mental periodization plan, within which planned humor interventions align with training cycles to optimize psychological preparedness and the potential for entering a flow state, as suggested by Judge et al. (2010).

For example, it may be that self-deprecating humor from the coach is appreciated during high-stress moments, serving to ease tension and humanize the coaching role. Alternatively, the celebration of team inside jokes might play an important role in reinforcing team identity and solidarity. Qualitative approaches also allow for the monitoring and evaluation of humor strategies, which can lead to sustained refinement and enhancement. Coaches can refine their humorous approach by actively seeking feedback and engaging in reflection, cultivating an environment where humor is valued as a key aspect of athlete development and team dynamics.

In addressing the complexities of using humor in coaching, it can be illustrative to consider an example steeped in sports culture, such as the perceived struggles of a well-known team. Take, for instance, the Chicago Bears and their recent challenges in securing victories. A coach looking to employ humor might say, "Our game plan needs to be more unpredictable. We want the kind of strategy that even the Chicago Bears couldn't see coming, and let's be honest, they haven't seen a lot of wins coming their way lately." This jest, while lighthearted, serves a dual purpose: it acknowledges a sports community's shared understanding of the Bears' struggles, thus creating common ground, and it acts as a motivational cue to encourage athletes to rise above expectations. Applied judiciously, such humor

intertwines levity with a meaningful message, showcasing a coach's ability to relate to the athletes and boost their morale by playfully challenging them to outperform a familiar reference point—in this case, a team with a recognizable recent history of underachievement.

Incorporating positive psychology into coaching practices fosters innovative approaches and enhances enjoyment. Humor, a cornerstone of positive psychology, facilitates an evolutionary shift in coaching development. Consistent training in its implementation solidifies coaches' educational growth in managing athlete performance (Biswas-Diener, 2010). Integrating mindfulness with humor can enrich this process, as mindful awareness amplifies the benefits of humor, allowing coaches and athletes to embrace the present moment with positivity and levity. Through mindful practice, humor evolves from a spontaneous aspect of coaching to a strategic and influential element of coaching mastery.

Challenges and Limitations

Integrating humor into coaching, while advantageous, requires judicious deployment to surmount potential challenges. Humor that is ill-suited to the context, content, or audience can endanger relationships and unity within the team, as detailed by Wanzer et al. (2006). A seemingly innocuous remark by a coach might be interpreted as disparaging by an athlete, with heightened sensitivity in the context of varying cultural backgrounds and values. Such incidents can fracture trust and disturb the team's cohesion. Coaches must be vigilant in recognizing the diverse ways humor might be construed due to cultural and personal nuances, ensuring an inclusive environment is sustained. In the event of a joke that misses the mark, a prompt acknowledgment of the misstep and an open dialogue can be an effective recovery strategy, facilitating understanding and restoring rapport.

Coaches must astutely employ humor to avoid undermining their professional credibility, carefully distinguishing between humor that reinforces instructional content and that which may distract. They are tasked with aligning humor with the learning objectives and maintaining it as a complementary facet of their coaching identity. To ensure constructive use, coaches can rely on self-reflection and feedback mechanisms to assess humor's appropriateness and effectiveness within their coaching practice. Moreover, cultural nuances

pose a challenge in the use of humor, with variations across cultural, subcultural, and individual perspectives. Rogerson-Revell (2007) stresses cultural competency in humor application; coaches need to be aware of their athletes' cultural backgrounds and tailor their humor accordingly to circumvent exclusion or misinterpretation.

Furthermore, the use of humor, while often a positive tool, can impede concentration and focus if it hinders the team's ability to reconvene into a serious work mindset. The potential for humor to detract from a team's productivity necessitates vigilant oversight. Coaches must judiciously employ humor, discerning its timing to align with and reinforce engagement, not disrupt it. This delicate equilibrium calls for open communication and attunement to athletes' reactions, confirming that humor serves to bolster, rather than undermine, the objectives of training sessions and the overall performance. Coaches should be particularly mindful of facilitating a smooth transition from lighthearted moments back to the focus and discipline required for athletic success.

Practical Application

Employing humor within coaching practices can enhance the efficacy of coaching, motivate athletes, and improve team dynamics. Purposeful and reflective humor integration can galvanize team spirit, reduce stress, and facilitate learning. In shaping a humor-friendly climate, coaches must model appropriate use and foster an environment ripe for positive, lighthearted interactions. This encourages camaraderie and shared identity, provided boundaries are maintained to ensure respect and sensitivity.

Humor also serves as a pivotal stress management tool, allowing coaches to alleviate tension before competitions or in the wake of setbacks (Dolon, 2021). Timing and sensitivity are crucial in these moments, enabling relaxation and perspective to counterbalance competitive pressures. When intertwined with teaching, humor can augment instruction and lift engagement levels, provided it complements the coaching content and aids rather than hinders the learning process. Well-timed humor can act as a mental reset, enhancing athletes' focus and information retention. To maintain authority while using humor, a coach must navigate the balance between relatability and respect.

Vulnerability expressed through humor can strengthen leadership, making coaches appear more accessible without diminishing athletes' reverence for their guidance. The application of qualitative research methods, as Kvale (1996) suggests, enables a deeper examination of humor's role in coaching. Techniques such as interviews, focus groups, and journals can reveal humor's impact on team culture and learning, informing more nuanced and effective humor implementation. Coaches should continuously assess and adjust their humor strategies by observing athlete reactions, ensuring it remains a constructive force in achieving coaching objectives.

Conclusion

The strategic application of humor stands as a robust mechanism for elevating athletic engagement and performance. It transcends mere jest to serve as a cornerstone in fostering team unity, mitigating stress, and reinforcing educational outcomes. Such efficacy accentuates the significance of humor within the domain of high-performance coaching, promoting its mindful integration. Intertwining mindfulness with the use of humor can deepen athletes' present-moment awareness and appreciation of both the levity and learning opportunities it provides. By adapting humor to suit diverse cultural and pedagogical contexts, coaches can further refine their craft. Recognizing humor as a vital component warrants continued investigation into its nuanced application in the evolving landscape of coaching science.

References

- Andersen, S. S., & Ronglan, L. T. (2012). Elite sport in Nordic countries. In S. S. Andersen & L. T. Ronglan (Eds.), *Nordic elite sport. Same Ambitions—Different Tracks* (pp. 11–24). Universitetsforlaget.
- Aristotle. (2004). *Nicomachean ethics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Biswas-Diener, R. (2010). *Practicing positive psychology coaching: Assessment, activities and strategies for success*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Branaman, A. (1997). Goffman's social theory. In C. Lemert & A. Branaman (Eds.), *The Goffman reader* (pp. xlv–lxxxii). Blackwell.
- Cooper, C. (2008). Elucidating the bonds of workplace humor: A relational process model. *Human Relations*, 61(8), 1087–1115.

- Davies, M. S. (1979). Sociology through humor. *Symbolic Interaction*, 2(1), 105–110.
- Denison, J. (2007). Social theory for coaches: A Foucauldian reading of one athlete's poor performance. *International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching*, 2(4), 369–383.
- Dolon, R. (2021). *Stress management through humor*. Virtual Presentation at Eleventh International Conference on Health, Wellness and Society.
- Dolon, R., & Gray, J. (2023). *Engaging students: Infusion of humor into the social work classroom*. 40th Annual Bachelors Social Work Directors Conference.
- Duncan, W. J., Smeltzer, L. R., & Leap, T. L. (1990). Humor and work: Applications of joking behavior to management. *Journal of Management*, 16(2), 255–278.
- Evans, B., Eys, M., & Wolf, S. (2013). Exploring the nature of interpersonal influence in elite individual sport teams. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 25(4), 448–462.
- Goffman, E. (1959). *The presentation of self in everyday life*. Penguin Books.
- Goffman, E. (1961). *Encounters: Two studies in the sociology of interaction*. Bobbs-Merril.
- Goffman, E. (1967). *Interaction ritual: Essays on face-to-face behavior*. Pantheon Books.
- Grugulis, I. (2002). Nothing serious? Candidates' use of humour in management training. *Human Relations*, 55(4), 387–406.
- Hay, J. (2000). Functions of humor in the conversations of men and women. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 32(6), 709–742.
- Holmes, J. (2007). Humour and the construction of Maori leadership at work. *Leadership*, 3(1), 5–27.
- Holmes, J., & Marra, M. (2002). Having a laugh at work: How humour contributes to workplace culture. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 34, 1683–1710.
- Hoyle, E., & Wallace, M. (2008). Two faces of organizational irony: Endemic and pragmatic. *Organization Studies*, 29, 1427–1447.
- Jones, R. L., Armour, K. M., & Potrac, P. (2004). *Sports coaching cultures: From practice to theory*. Routledge.
- Jones, R. L., Bailey, J., & Thompson, A. (2013). Ambiguity, noticing, and orchestration: Further thoughts on managing the complex coaching context. In P. Potrac, W. Gilbert, & J. Denison (Eds.), *Routledge handbook of sports coaching* (pp. 271–283). Routledge.

- Jones, R. L., Potrac, P., Cushion, C., & Ronglan, L. T. (2011a). *The sociology of sports coaching*. Routledge.
- Jones, R. L., Potrac, P., Cushion, C., & Ronglan, L. T. (2011b). Erving Goffman: Interaction and impression management: Playing the coaching role. In R. L. Jones, P. Potrac, C. Cushion, & L. T. Ronglan (Eds.), *The sociology of sports coaching* (pp. 15–26). Routledge.
- Jones, R. L., Ronglan, L. T., Potrac, P., & Cushion, C. (2011c). Concluding thoughts and ways forward. In R. L. Jones, P. Potrac, C. Cushion, & L. T. Ronglan (Eds.), *The sociology of sports coaching* (pp. 179–186). Routledge.
- Jones, R. L., & Wallace, M. (2005). Another bad day at the training ground: Coping with ambiguity in the coaching context. *Sport, Education & Society*, *10*, 119–134.
- Judge, L. W., Bell, R., Bellar, D., & Wanless, E. (2010). Developing a mental game plan: Mental periodization for achieving a “flow” state for the track and field throws athlete. *The Sport Journal*, *13*(4), 1543–9518.
- Judge, L. W., Pierce, D., Petersen, J., Bellar, D., Wanless, E., Gilreath, E., & Simon, L. (2011). Engaging experiential service learning through a co-curricular club: The Chase Charlie races. *ICHPERD-SD Journal of Research*, *VI*(2), 33–41.
- Kim, J., Godfrey, M., & Eys, M. (2022). A double-edged sword: The nature of team comedians in sport teams. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, *34*(1), 204–227.
- Kvale, S. (1996). *Interviews: An introduction to qualitative research interviewing*. Sage.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage Publications.
- Malterud, K. (2001). Qualitative research: Standards, challenges, and guidelines. *The Lancet*, *358*(9280), 483–488.
- Martin, D. M., & Gayle, B. M. (1999). It isn't a matter of just being funny: Humor production by organizational leaders. *Communication Research Reports*, *16*(1), 72–80.
- Mead, G. H. (1934). *Mind, self, and society*. University of Chicago Press.
- Morreall, J. (2009). *Comic relief: A comprehensive philosophy of humour*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Pack, S., Hemmings, B., Winter, S., & Arvinen-Barrow, M. (2019). A preliminary investigation into the use of humor in sport psychology practice. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, *31*(4), 494–502.

- Plester, B., & Orams, M. (2008). Send in the clowns: The role of the joker in three New Zealand IT companies. *Humor – International Journal of Humor Research*, 21(3), 253–281.
- Potrac, P., Jones, R. L., & Armour, K. (2002). ‘It’s all about getting respect’: The coaching behaviors of an expert English soccer coach. *Sport, Education and Society*, 7(2), 183–202.
- Rogerson-Revell, P. (2007). Humour in business: A double-edged sword. A study of humour and style shifting in intercultural meetings. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 39(1), 4–28.
- Romero, E., & Pescosolido, A. (2008). Humor and group effectiveness. *Human Relations*, 61(3), 395–418.
- Ronglan, L. T. (2000). *Gjennom sesongen. En sosiologisk studie av det norske kvinnelandslaget I handball på og utenfor banen*. [During the season. A sociological analysis of the female Norwegian national handball team on and off the court] (PhD dissertation). Norwegian School of Sport Sciences.
- Ronglan, L. T. (2011). Social interaction in coaching. In R. L. Jones, P. Potrac, C. Cushion, & L. T. Ronglan (Eds.), *The sociology of sports coaching* (pp. 151–165). Routledge.
- Ronglan, L. & Aggerholm, K. (2014). ‘Humour helps’: Elite sports coaching as a balancing act. *Sports Coaching Review*, 3. 10.1080/21640629.2014.885776.
- Saury, J., & Durand, M. (1998). Practical knowledge in expert coaches: On-site study of coaching in sailing. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 69(3), 254–266.
- Schei, G. S., Haugen, T., Stenling, A., Grøtting, A., Peters, D. M., & Høigaard, R. (2021). Development and initial validation of the humor climate in sport scale. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 692892.
- Wanzer, M. B., & Frymier, A. B. (1999). The relationship between student perceptions of instructor humor and students’ reports of learning. *Communication Education*, 48(1), 48–62.
- Wanzer, M. B., Frymier, A. B., Wojtaszczyk, A. M., & Smith, T. (2006). Appropriate and inappropriate uses of humor by teachers. *Communication Education*, 55(2), 178–196.
- Watt, I. J. (2007). The sense in humour: A personal exploration of humour in the teaching of adults: Some questions and tentative answers: A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Education in Adult Education at Massey University (Doctoral dissertation, Massey University).